

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>PfE is significantly different to the GMSF and therefore shouldn't legally be treated as the same plan. It shouldn't have been able to proceed to final public consultation and submission.</p> <p>The plan uses out of date 2014 data to predict housing need.</p> <p>The plan lacks detail on how the required infrastructure will be funded.</p> <p>Public consultation has been woeful - many residents are unaware of the proposed destruction of green spaces and information sharing has been inconsistent across the Bury area.</p> <p>Removal and recreation of green belt land can only be justified in exceptional circumstances which has not been proven.</p> <p>There is a distinct lack of detail about the type of housing, the environmental impact in addition to the physical and mental health impact on residents. How can this possibly fit in with the narrative around cleaner air and climate change. It is unbelievably hypocritical on so many levels.</p> <p>Several local authorities have failed to meet housing delivery targets. This plan relies on the cooperation of housing developers and there is no strategy to guarantee delivery of housing targets.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	<p>PfE needs to be treated legally as a completely new plan based on recent 2018 ONS data and taking into consideration the impact of brexit and covid.</p>

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Meet our housing need 2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved 4. Maximise the potential arising from our national and international assets 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 6. Promote the sustainable movement of people, goods and information 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 9. Ensure access to physical and social infrastructure 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>I wanted to tick un sound to all the options above but it wouldn"t allow me too which is highly questionable the day before the consultation changes.</p> <p>Please see my objections above including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Out of date housing data used Lack of detail on how infrastructure will be funded No major partners or industries that have been listed for employment opportunities Poor and limited public consultation Inexcusable reduction in true green belt land Stockport has opted out which has n impact on duty of cooperation Taking away the green spaces of hard working people leading to uninviting urban sprawl. The traffic levels and fumes will increase and Bury already has high levels of air pollution.
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Lacks detail on how infrastructure will be funded No major partners or industries identified to support employment prospects Recent downgrading of the quality of the jobs that will be made available
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Uses out of date data For all the apparent focus on previously developed sites there are swathes of fields, wildlife areas and green belt land that are proposed sites.
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The people of Bury don't deserve this - they deserve clever housing not for their green spaces to be built on and the local wildlife destroyed.
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	Farrell
Given Name	Grace
Person ID	1287061
Title	Other Comments
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA